



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,897	02/13/2001	Robert W. Bosley	153501-0321	6345

7590 11/29/2001

Irrell & Manella llp
1800 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 900
Los Angeles, CA 90076

EXAMINER

CASAREGOLA, LOUIS J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3746	6

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/782,897	BOSLEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Louis J. Casaregola	3746

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.

18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

20) Other: _____.

Defective Reissue Application

This reissue application is defective under 37 CFR § 1.178 since it was filed without the original patent, an offer to surrender the original patent, or an affidavit or declaration to the effect that the original patent is lost or inaccessible.

Defective Reissue Declaration

The present reissue declaration is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application (see 37 CFR § 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414).

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 251

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 251 as being based upon a defective reissue declaration as set forth above.

Claims 1-19 are further rejected 35 U.S.C. § 251 as lacking statutory basis for reissue.

Although the reissue declaration asserts that defects exist by reason of patentees claiming more or less than they had a right to claim, no claim errors have actually

Art Unit: 3746

been identified and no amendments correcting claim errors have been proposed. There thus appear to be no actual errors that would serve as a statutory basis for reissue of the present claims.

L. J. Casaregola
703-308-1027 (M-F; 8:00-4:30)
703-305-3588 FAX
November 8, 2001



LOUIS J. CASAREGOLA
PRIMARY EXAMINER